(PC) Reed v	d v. Williams et al	
1		
2		
3	3	
4	4	
5	5	
6	6	
7	7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	0 RONALD REED,	
11	Plaintiff, No. 2: 05-cv-0060 JAM KJN P	
12	2 vs.	
13	DR. B. WILLIAMS, et al.,	
14	4 Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>	
15	5/	
16	On December 20, 2010, judgment was entered following a jury trial. On March	
17	21, 2013, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed the judgment.	
18	Pending before the court are plaintiff's February 1, 2011 motion for permission to	
19	appeal and February 3, 2011 motion to stay pending a ruling on the motion to appeal. Because	
20	judgment has been affirmed by the Ninth Circuit, plaintiff's pending motions are moot.	
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's February 1, 2011 motion	
22	to appeal (Dkt. No. 250) and motion to stay (Dkt. No. 254) are denied as moot.	
23	3 DATED: March 26, 2013	
24	4 KENDALLI NEWMAN	
25	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE	JUDGE
26	6 reed60.ord	
	1	

Doc. 270