(HC) Huftile	v. Hunter I
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	MICHAEL HUFTILE,
12	Petitioner, 2:05-cv-0174-GEB-DAD-P
13	vs.
14	MELVIN HUNTER, et al.,
15	Respondents. <u>ORDER</u>
16	
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ
18	of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States
19	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.
20	On January 16, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
21	herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any
22	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Petitioner
23	has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule
25	72-304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the
26	
	1

Doc. 27

entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations filed January 16, 2009, are adopted in full; and 2. That petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is denied. Dated: February 17, 2009 United States District Judge