1	
2	
3	
5	
6	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	A.C. ROBINSON,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-05-0342 JAM DAD P
12	VS.
13	D.L. RUNNELS,
14	Defendant. ORDER
15	/ OKDEK
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and seeking relief pursuant to 42
17	U.S.C. § 1983. On October 16, 2009, the assigned district judge dismissed this action without
18	prejudice due to plaintiff's failure to exhaust administrative remedies. Pending before the court
19	is plaintiff's motion for a certificate of appealability.
20	Plaintiff is advised that the requirements for a certificate of appealability apply to
21	claims for habeas corpus relief. Plaintiff does not need a certificate of appealability to appeal
22	from the dismissal of his civil rights action brought under § 1983.
23	
24	
25	
26	
	1

(PC) Robinson v. Runnels

Doc. 68

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for a certificate of appealability (Doc. No. 66) is denied as unnecessary.

DATED: February 22, 2010.

Dale A. Dryd

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DAD:9 robi0342.coa