1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	MELVIN L. WILSON,
11	Petitioner, No. 2:05-cv-0357 LKK KJN P
12	VS.
13	SCOTT KERNAN, Warden,
14	Respondent. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	
16	On February 17, 2010, an order was served on petitioner's address of record and
17	returned by the postal service. It appears that petitioner has failed to comply with Local Rule 83-
18	182(f), which requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address
19	change.
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for
21	petitioner's failure to keep the court apprised of his current address. See Local Rules 182(f) and
22	
23	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
24	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty-
25	one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written
26	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's

(HC) Wilson v. Kernan

Doc. 26

Findings and Recommendations." Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: April 12, 2010 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE wils0357.133a