Padula et al v. Morris et al Doc. 277

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 DAYNA PADULA, et al., No. 2:05-cv-00411-MCE-EFB 12 Plaintiffs. 13 ORDER ٧. 14 ROBERT MORRIS, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 The Court is in receipt of Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration (ECF No. 276) of 18 its Order granting Defendants' request for costs (ECF No. 276). This Court may 19 reconsider its prior decision for any of the following reasons: (1) mistake, inadvertence, 20 surprise, or excusable neglect; (2) newly discovered evidence; (3) fraud, 21 misrepresentation, or misconduct by an opposing party; (4) the judgment is void; 22 (5) judgment has been satisfied, released or discharged; and (6) any other reason that 23 justifies relief. Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b). Plaintiff's Motion does nothing more than rehash 24 arguments already considered and rejected. 25 ///26 /// 27 /// 28 ///1

1	No grounds having been presented that warrant reconsideration, Plaintiff's Motion (ECF
2	No. 276) is DENIED.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	Dated: March 7, 2014
5	
6	
7	MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR, CHIEF JUDGE
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	