(PC) McNea	eal v. Evert, et al II		
1	1		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8		STRICT COURT	
9		FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	VERNON WAYNE McNEAL,		
11		ev-0441 GEB EFB P	
12	<b>'</b>	V-0441 OLD LI D I	
13	EVERT, et al.,		
14			
15			
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42		
17	U.S.C. § 1983. On January 18, 2013, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and		
18	recommendations recommending that defendants' motion for summary judgment be granted in		
19	part and denied in part. Dckt. No. 145. The findings and recommendations provided the parties		
20	14 days to file objections thereto. <u>Id</u> . No objections having been filed, the court adopted the		
21	magistrate's recommendations on February 21, 2013. Dckt. No. 146. Four days later, plaintiff		
22	filed a motion for an extension of time to file his objections. Dckt. No. 147. Because the court		
23	had already adopted the recommendations, the magistrate judge denied the motion for more time		
24	as moot. Dckt. No. 148. Plaintiff now seeks reconsideration of the magistrate judge's denial of		
25	his motion for an extension of time. Dckt. No. 150.		
26	////		
20			
Ш	u 1		

Doc. 154

Plaintiff declares that, because he was out to court, he did not receive the findings and recommendations until February 20, 2013. <u>Id.</u> at 2. Because plaintiff has shown that he could not have timely objected to the findings and recommendations despite his diligence, the court will vacate the order dated February 21, 2013. Plaintiff shall have 14 days from the date of this order to file objections to the January 18, 2013 findings and recommendations.

So ordered.

Dated: April 5, 2013

ARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.

Senior United States District Judge