(HC) Crosby	y v. Schwartz	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	COURTNEY CROSBY,	
11	Petitioner,	No. 2: 05-cv-0447 GEB KJN P
12	VS.	
13	T. SCHWARTZ, et al.,	
14	Respondents.	<u>ORDER</u>
15		
16	On November 1, 2010, the Honorable Garland E. Burrell denied petitioner's	
17	application for a writ of habeas corpus and issued a certificate of appealability.	
18	On November 17, 2010, petitioner filed an application for a certificate of	
19	appealability which the court construes as a notice of appeal. On November 17, 2010, petitioner	
20	filed a motion for appointment of counsel. Because this action is now on appeal, this motion	
21	should be addressed to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.	
22	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
23	1. Petitioner's motion for certificate of appealability (Dkt. No. 70) is construed as	
24	a notice of appeal; the Clerk of the Court shall process petitioner's appeal;	
25	////	
26	////	
		1

Doc. 72

1	2. Petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel (Dkt. No. 71) is disregarded.
2	DATED: December 1, 2010
3	
4	
5	Kerdell & Newman
6	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	cro447.app
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	