(PC) Strain v	. Sandham et al		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	CHARLES STRAIN,		
11	Plaintiff,	No. CIV S-05-0474 GEB GGH P	
12	VS.		
13	R. SANDHAM, et al.,		
14	Defendants.	<u>ORDER</u>	
15		/	
16	In the January 23, 2009, order, the court found appointment of counsel for		
17	plaintiff to be warranted. Accordingly, the court finds as follows:		
18	Efforts to obtain legal representation without order of this court would be futile;		
19	1. Plaintiff's income is 125 percent or less of the current poverty threshold		
20	established by the Office of Management and Budget of the United States and is otherwise		
21	without resources to obtain counsel;		
22	2. This case is of a type that attorneys in this district ordinarily do not accept		
23	without prepayment of a fee;		
24	3. This case is not a fee generating case within the meaning of California Business		
25	and Professions Code § 8030.4(g); and		
26	\\\\\		
		1	

Doc. 131

1	4. This case has sufficient merit to warrant appointment pursuant to General
2	Order No. 230.
3	Therefore, this court orders as follows:
4	1. Timothy Thimesch is appointed as attorney for plaintiff pursuant to General
5	Order No. 230;
6	2. Counsel is directed to contact the Clerk's Office to make arrangements for
7	copies of the file;
8	3. Counsel shall submit all deposition transcript costs for payment pursuant to
9	§ 8030.6 of the California Business and Professions Code. All other contemplated costs shall be
10	handled as described in General Order No. 230;
11	4. This case is to be set on this court's law and motion calendar for a status
12	conference within 60 days, pursuant to a stipulation of plaintiff's and defendants' counsel;
13	5. The Clerk shall electronically serve a copy of this order on Timothy Thimesch
14	at tim@thimeschlaw.com.
15	DATED: April 17, 2009 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows
16	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
17	
18	
19	strain0474.app
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	