1 2 3 4 5 б 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 RICHARD M. GILMAN, et al., 10 NO. CIV. S-05-830 LKK/GGH Plaintiffs, 11 12 v. ORDER 13 EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., et al., 14 Defendants. 15 16 On November 30, 2011, the court issued the report of 17 statistical expert Professor Richard Berk, ECF No. 384. In that report, Professor Berk declared that after a reasonable statistical 18 19 analysis of the evidence submitted by plaintiff, Professor Berk 20 could not conclude that there is likely to be a risk of prolonged 21 incarceration under the procedural changes in Proposition 9, as compared to the pre-Proposition 9 procedures. The court ordered 22 23 additional briefing from the parties, which has been received. 24 On the record presently before the court, plaintiffs' motion 25 for a preliminary injunction must be denied. Nothing in this order 26 should be construed as an opinion on the merits of plaintiffs'

1

1	claims at trial.
2	Accordingly, the court ORDERS as follows:
3	[1] Plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction, ECF
4	No. 284 is DENIED.
5	[2] A status conference is SET for April 30, 2012 at
6	3:30 p.m.
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.
8	DATED: April 4, 2012.
9	
10	- Karlt
11	LAWRENCE K. KARLTON SENIOR JUDSE
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
24 25	
25 26	
20	
	2