1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	INTERMOTIVE, INC.,
10	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-05-844 KJM GGH
11	vs.
12	INPOWER, LLC,
13	Defendant. <u>ORDER</u>
14	/
15	This matter was on the court's calendar for status on February 9, 2011. Charles
16	Thoeming of Bielen, Lampe and Thoeming appeared telephonically for plaintiff; Richard
17	Hoffmann of Reising, Ethington, PNC, and Stephen Davis of Davis & Leonard LLP appeared
18	telephonically for defendant.
19	Within forty-five days of the date of this order, the parties are to notify the court
20	whether they have reached a settlement. If the case does not settle, the parties shall brief the
21	question whether the stay currently in effect should be lifted, with plaintiff's notice setting the
22	matter on calendar and its motion due forty-five days from the date of this order. Opposition and
23	reply are due in compliance with Local Rule 230(b) based on the date of the hearing.
24	IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: February 10, 2011.
25	DATED: February 10, 2011.
26	2/inter0844.ord
	1

1