3 4

1

2

6

5

7

8

9

10 WILLIE RAY BEASLEY,

Petitioner,

Petitioner, No. 2:05-cv-0851 JAM KJN P

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12 vs.

13 CLAUDE E. FINN, et al.,

14 Responden

Respondents. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION</u>

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

Petitioner is proceeding without counsel on a petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner challenges a 2002 denial of parole by the Board of Parole Hearings. On May 7, 2009, counsel for respondents filed a notice that petitioner was paroled on April 22, 2009, after being found suitable for parole in a 2008 hearing by the Board of Parole hearings. On February 12, 2010, the court ordered petitioner to show cause within thirty days why this action should not be dismissed as moot. Petitioner has not responded or otherwise responded to the court's order.

23 IT IS HEREBY RECOMM

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed. <u>See</u> Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty-

one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: April 30, 2010 

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

beas0851.dsm