1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 EDWARD DON BROWN, 11 Petitioner, No. CIV S-05-1195 LKK DAD P 12 VS. 13 WARDEN YATES, 14 Respondent. ORDER 15 16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ 17 of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States 18 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 19 On June 25, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations 20 herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any 21 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. 22 Petitioner has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. 23 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire 24 25 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. 26 1

(HC) Brown v. Yates

Doc. 36

## 

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed June 25, 2010, are adopted in full;
- 2. Petitioner's January 8, 2010, "request for Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 60(b)(1) hearing and motion for appointment of counsel" (Docket No. 24) is denied; and
- 3. For the reasons set forth in the magistrate judge's June 25, 2010 findings and recommendations, the court finds that petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. Accordingly, a certificate of appealability should not issue in this action.

DATED: August 30, 2010.

LAWRENCE K. KARLTON

SENIOR JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT