

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WALTER JOHNSON,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-05-1223 JAM DAD P

vs.

M. L. EVANS,

Respondent.

ORDER

_____ /

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

On September 18, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fifteen days. Petitioner has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. The findings and recommendations filed September 18, 2009, are adopted in
3 full;

4 2. Petitioner’s September 5, 2008 “Motion For: Reinstatement of Writ of Habeas
5 Corpus” (Doc. No. 35), construed as a motion for leave to file an amended petition, is denied;
6 and

7 3. The habeas petition filed June 20, 2005, is submitted for decision.

8 DATED: December 7, 2009

9 /s/ John A. Mendez
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26