

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 DAVID SHAW, SR.,

No. 2:05-cv-01506-MCE-GGH P

12 Petitioner,

13 vs.

ORDER

14 ROSANNE CAMPBELL, et al.,

15 Respondents.
16 _____/

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding through counsel, has filed an application for a writ
18 of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States
19 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

20 On November 26, 2008, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein
21 which were served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any objections to
22 the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Petitioner has filed
23 objections to the findings and recommendations.

24 ///

25 ///


26 ///

1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-304,
2 this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file,
3 the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper
4 analysis.

5 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 6 1. The findings and recommendations filed November 26, 2008, are adopted in full; and
7 2. Petitioner's claim alleging ineffective assistance of counsel based on counsel's failure
8 to renew attempts to have impeachment witnesses testify after the victim testified that she did not
9 engage in sex for drugs is denied, and all other claims are denied, and judgment shall be entered.

10 Dated: January 9, 2009

11 
12 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26