1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	GREGORY ANDREW HALL, No. CIV S-05-1556-JAM-CMK-P
12	Plaintiff,
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
14	C. PLILER, et al.,
15	Defendants.
16	/
17	Plaintiff, who is proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to 42
18	U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Eastern
19	District of California local rules.
20	On July 10, 2009, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations
21	herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that the parties may file
22	objections within a specified time. Timely objections to the findings and recommendations have
23	been filed.
24	///
25	///
26	///
	1

1	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-
2	304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire
3	file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by
4	proper analysis.
5	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
6	1. The findings and recommendations filed July 10, 2009, are adopted in full;
7	2. Defendants' motion for summary judgment (Doc. 72) is granted in part;
8	3. This action proceeds on plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claims against
9	defendants Ranzany and Ugalino and plaintiff's due process claims against defendants Ranzany,
10	Ugalino, Lt. Johnson, Connor, Gold, and Goughnour;
11	4. Pliler, Shrode, Ewing, Kelly, Clavere, Shoemaker, Baxter, Stiles, Rosario,
12	Lord, Murphy, Rogel, Kimbrell, Peterson, Jaffe, Vance, Dr. Johnson, and Phillippi are terminated
13	as defendants to this action; and
14	5. The matter is referred back to the Magistrate Judge for further
15	proceedings.
16	DATED: October 14, 2009
17	
18	/s/ John A. Mendez
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2