

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

THOMAS CLINTON,
Plaintiff,
v.
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:05-cv-1600-JAM-CMK

ORDER

Plaintiff, a former prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pending before the court is plaintiff’s motion for reconsideration (Doc. 578) of the Magistrate Judge’s December 15, 2015, order denying plaintiff’s motion to appoint counsel and motion for adverse inference regarding destruction of evidence.

Pursuant to Eastern District of California Local Rule 303(f), a Magistrate Judge’s order shall be upheld unless “clearly erroneous or contrary to law.” Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not appear that the Magistrate Judge’s ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law. The December 15, 2015, order is therefore affirmed.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The motion for reconsideration (Doc. 578) is denied;
2. The Magistrate Judge’s December 15, 2015, order is affirmed; and

///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

3. No further motions for reconsideration of this order will be considered.

DATED: March 17, 2016

/s/ John A. Mendez

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE