(PC) Cejas v	. Blanas		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	ANDREW A. CEJAS,		
11	Plaintiff,	No. CIV S-05-1799 LKK GGH P	
12	vs.		
13	LOU BLANAS, et al.,		
14	Defendants.	<u>ORDER</u>	
15			
16	On October 14, 2009, the undersigned recommended that defendant's summary		
17	judgment motion be granted. On October 26, 2009, plaintiff filed a motion to amend the		
18	judgment. On that date, plaintiff filed a motion to file an appeal from any order denying his		
19	motion to amend the judgment.		
20	Because no judgment has been entered, plaintiff's motion to amend the judgment		
21	is improper. If plaintiff disagrees with the findings and recommendations, he should file		
22	objections. Accordingly, the motion to amend the judgment is denied. Plaintiff does not require		
23	court permission to file a notice of appeal.		
24	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
25	1. Plaintiff's motion to amend the judgment (no. 96) and motion for leave to file		
26	an appeal (no. 97) are denied;		
		1	

Doc. 98

1	2. Plaintiff is granted twenty-one days from the date of this order to file
2	objections to the findings and recommendations.
3	DATED: November 5, 2009
4	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	cej1799.ame
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	