(PC) Pogue v. Tilton		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	L. QIYAM POGUE,	
9	Plaintiff, No	. CIV S-05-1873 MCE GGH P
10	VS.	
11	JAMES TILTON, et al.,	
12	Defendants. OR	<u>RDER</u>
13	/	
14	Plaintiff once again brings a motion to enforce the settlement agreement for this	
15	closed case, contending again that defendants have only paid him \$1,127.71 of the \$1200.00	
16	which he is owed, pursuant to the settlement agreement, leaving a shortfall of \$72.79 [sic].	
17	Motion filed on May 23, 2011.	
18	By order, filed on April 22, 2011, the court explained how there is no basis for the	
19	court's continuing jurisdiction in this matter and incorporates by reference that response, citing	
20	applicable authority, into this order. Plaintiff's renewed motion must be denied.	
21	Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff's motion to enforce the settlement	
22	agreement, filed on May 23, 2011 (docket # 177), is denied.	
23	DATED: June 2, 2011	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
24		GREGORY G. HOLLOWS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25	GGH:009	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE
26	pogu1873.ord2	

Doc. 179