Case 2:05-cv-01874-DAD Document 5 Filed 09/30/2005 Page 1 of 2 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA GARRY G. STEELE, 10 11 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-05-1874 LKK DAD P 12 VS. 13 MARK McMAHON, et al., 14 Defendants. FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 15 16 In an order filed concurrently with these findings and recommendations, the 17 undersigned has screened the prisoner plaintiff's complaint and determined that plaintiff has sued 18 a state agency for monetary damages. The Eleventh Amendment serves as a jurisdictional bar to 19 such a suit. See Quern v. Jordan, 440 U.S. 332 (1979); Alabama v. Pugh, 438 U.S. 781 (1978) 20 (per curiam); <u>Jackson v. Hayakawa</u>, 682 F.2d 1344, 1349-50 (9th Cir. 1982). Because the 21 California Highway Patrol is immune from the relief sought, plaintiff's claims against this 22 defendant lack an arguable legal basis and should be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. 23 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that defendant California 24 Highway Patrol be dismissed from this action. 25 These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States 26 District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within

Page 2 of 2 Case 2:05-cv-01874-DAD Document 5 Filed 09/30/2005

1	twenty days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file
2	written objections with the court. A document containing objections should be titled "Objections
3	to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file
4	objections within the specified time may, under certain circumstances, waive the right to appeal
5	the District Court's order. See Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
6	DATED: September 29, 2005.
7	Dale A. Dryd
8	DALE A. DROZD
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DAD:13 stee1874.56
10	Stee1874.30
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26