1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 CHARLES T. DAVIS, No. 2:05-cv-1898-JAM-EFB P 12 Plaintiff, 13 **ORDER** v. 14 D.L. RUNNELS, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief 18 under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 19 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On July 29, 2013, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which 21 were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the 22 findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither party has filed 23 objections to the findings and recommendations. 24 The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be 25 supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY 26 ORDERED that: 27 1. The findings and recommendations filed July 29, 2013, are adopted in full; and ///// 28 1

1	2. Defendants' motion for summary judgment, ECF No. 82, is granted as to
2	defendants Miranda and Runnels, and denied as to defendants Amero, Hougland, Von Rader, and
3	Simmerson.
4	
5	DATED: September 4, 2013
6	/s/ John A. Mendez UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
7	OMILD STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	