1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	DEAN DRAKE,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-05-2075 JAM GGH P
12	VS.
13	MIKE WHITE, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate
18	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.
19	On December 2, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
20	herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any
21	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. Neither
22	party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.
23	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
24	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
25	
	ORDERED that:
26	ORDERED that:

1	1. The findings and recommendations filed December 2, 2000, are adopted in
1	1. The findings and recommendations filed December 2, 2009, are adopted in
2	full;
3	2. Defendants' motion for summary judgment (docket #79) is denied.
4	DATED: February 18, 2010
5	/s/ John A. Mendez UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
6 7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
7 8	
° 9	
10	
10	
11	
12	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2