(PC) Mack v. Ona et al

Doc. 110

Pursuant to Eastern District of California Local Rule 72-303(f), a magistrate judge's order shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not appear that the Magistrate Judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law. The January 16, 2009 order denying plaintiff the appointment of counsel is, therefore, affirmed.²

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The motion for reconsideration (Doc. 106) is denied;
- 2. The Magistrate Judge's January 16, 2009, order is affirmed; and
- 3. No further motions for reconsideration of this order will be considered.

Dated: February 11, 2009

MORRISON C. ENGLAND) JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Pursuant to Eastern District of California Local Rule 72-303(b), "rulings by Magistrate Judges shall be final if no reconsideration thereof is sought from the Court within ten court days . . . from the date of service of the ruling on the parties." The order plaintiff is objecting to was filed on January 16, 2009. Therefore, plaintiff time to request reconsideration expired on January 26, 2009. Plaintiff did not sign his current motion until January 28, 2009, which would make plaintiff's motion untimely. However, plaintiff has indicated in previous filings that he has a hand injury which may affect his ability to file documents in a timely manner. Although from his writing on this most recent filing, it appears his hand injury has improved, the court has considered the merits of his untimely request.