(PC) Low v. Stanton et al

Doc. 223

ultimate termination of the litigation, he shall so state in writing in such order. 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b). Here, the court does not find that the order denying the appointment of counsel involves a controlling question of law for which there is substantial ground for a difference of opinion. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that 1. Plaintiff's June 7, 2010 motion for an order granting certification to file an interlocutory appeal (Doc. No. 222) is denied; and 2. Any interlocutory appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit shall not stay these proceedings. DATED: June 22, 2010. ale A Dogol DAD:4 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE low2211.interloc