

1	WHEREAS, the Court issued a temporary restraining order on Tuesday, November		
2	22, 2005, preventing the release of Helix Electric, Inc.'s employees' addresses to the Public Works		
3	Compliance Program;		
4			
5	WHEREAS, the Court further set a hearing on December 2, 2005 at 10:00 a.m., for		
6	defendants to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not be issued;		
7			
8	WHEREAS, the Court set a briefing schedule for the hearing on the order to show		
9	cause;		
10			
11	WHEREAS, the Court elected not to require Helix to post a bond for the temporary		
12	restraining order;		
13			
14	WHEREAS, the Public Works Compliance Program has indicated that it may		
15	request the Court to require that Helix post a bond;		
16			
17	WHEREAS, the parties have discussed the pending hearing date and briefing		
18	schedule and have agreed to continue those dates to allow adequate time for full and complete		
19	briefing on the issues;		
20			
21	THEREFORE, by and through their respective counsel, the parties stipulate as		
22	follows:		
23			
24	1. Defendants hereby agree that the temporary restraining order shall remain in		
25	full force and effect until the hearing on the order to show cause re a preliminary injunction is		
26	held;		
27			
28			

С	ase 2:05-cv-02303-FCD-KJM Document 18 Filed 12/02/2005 Page 3 of 5			
1	2. The hearing on the order to show cause why a preliminary injunction should			
2	not be issue shall be continued until January 27, 2006 at 10:00 a.m.			
3				
4	3. Any and all opposition papers must be filed and served by Defendants no			
5	later than January 6, 2006.			
6				
7	4. Any and all reply papers must be filed and served by Helix no later than			
8	January 20, 2006.			
9				
10	5. The Public Works Compliance Program shall have until December 5, 2005			
11	to file and serve a request for reconsideration as to whether Helix must post a bond on the			
12	temporary restraining order.			
13				
14	IT IS SO STIPULATED:			
15				
16	DATED:, 2005			
17	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP			
18				
19	By			
20	MATTHEW S. McCONNELL			
21	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
22	HELIX ELECTRIC, INC.			
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	-2-			

С	ase 2:05-cv-02303-FCD-KJM	Document 18 Filed 12/02/2005 Page 4 of 5
1 2	DATED:	_, 2005
3		WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD
4		
5		By DAVID ROSENFELD, Esq.
6		
7		Attorneys for Defendant PUBLIC WORKS COMPLIANCE PROGRAM
8	DATED.	2005
9	DATED:	_, 2003
10		
11		Ву
12		RAY C. THOMPSON, Deputy County Counsel
13		Attorney for Defendant
14		COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO
15	DATED:	_, 2005
16		
17		
18		Ву
19		ANN HIPSHMAN, Esq.
20		Attorney for Defendants DIVISION OF LABOR STANDARDS
21		ENFORCEMENT, DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL
22		RELATIONS, JOHN REA AS ACTING DIRECTOR OF THE DIR, AND DONNA DELL AS LABOR
23		COMMISSIONER
24		
25		
26		
27 28		
28		
	Was an natural to too a	-3-

27

28

26

-4-

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE