

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 JOSEPH A. SHERMAN,

11 Petitioner,

No. CIV S-06-0018 FCD CHS P

12 vs.

13 YOLO COUNTY SHERIFF, et al.,

14 Respondents.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has timely filed a notice of appeal of
17 this court's December 3, 2008 denial of his application for a writ of habeas corpus. Before
18 petitioner can appeal this decision, a certificate of appealability must issue. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c);
19 Fed. R. App. P. 22(b).

20 A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 “only if the
21 applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.” 28 U.S.C.
22 § 2253(c)(2). The court must either issue a certificate of appealability indicating which issues
23 satisfy the required showing or must state the reasons why such a certificate should not issue.
24 Fed. R. App. P. 22(b).


25 ////

26 ////

1 For the reasons set forth in the magistrate judge's November 6, 2008 findings and
2 recommendations, petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional
3 right. Accordingly, a certificate of appealability should not issue in this action.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 DATED: January 16, 2009.

6
7 
8 FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR.
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26