1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	ROBERT MARTINEZ,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:06-cv-0088 FCD DAD (PC)
12	VS.
13	LOU BLANAS,
14	Defendant. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	On September 29, 2010, defendant filed a motion for summary judgment pursuant
17	to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56. Plaintiff has not opposed the motion.
18	Local Rule 230(1) provides in part: "Failure of the responding party to file written
19	opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to
20	the granting of the motion" On February 16, 2010, plaintiff was advised of the requirements
21	for filing an opposition to the motion and that failure to oppose such a motion may be deemed a
22	waiver of opposition to the motion.
23	Local Rule 110 provides that failure to comply with the Local Rules "may be
24	grounds for imposition of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the
25	inherent power of the Court." In the order filed February 16, 2010, plaintiff was advised that
26	failure to comply with the Local Rules may result in a recommendation that the action be
	1

1 dismissed.

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, within thirty days of the date of this order, plaintiff shall file an opposition, if any he has, to the motion for summary judgment or a statement of non-opposition. Failure to comply with this order will result in dismissal of this action be pursuant Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). DATED: November 2, 2010.

ale A. Daget

DALE A. DROZD UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DAD:12 mart0088.46osc