(PC) Lamon v. Director, California Department of Corrections et al	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	BARRY LAMON,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-06-0156 GEB KJM P
12	vs.
13	DIRECTOR, CALIFORNIA
14	DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION, et al.,
15	Defendants. ORDER
16	
17	On March 25, 2010, plaintiff filed objections, construed as a request for
18	reconsideration, to the magistrate judge's order filed March 18, 2010, requesting counsel for the
19	defendants to facilitate the shipment of plaintiff's other legal materials from CSP-Corcoran.
20	Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly
21	erroneous or contrary to law." Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not
22	appear that the magistrate judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law.
23	
24	
25	/////
26	
	1

Doc. 181

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration, the order of the magistrate judge (Docket No. 178), is affirmed.

Dated: April 11, 2010

GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR. United States District Judge