

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 TYRONNE BATTLE,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-06-0171 LKK GGH P

12 vs.

13 TERESA SCHWARTZ, et al.,

14 Defendants.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

15 \_\_\_\_\_/  
16 A suggestion of death upon the record pertaining to the death of plaintiff was filed  
17 on January 22, 2008. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 25, a motion for substitution  
18 of a proper party must be made no later than ninety days after the death is suggested upon the  
19 record. By Order, issued on January 29, 2008, the court stated that if a motion for substitution of  
20 a proper party were not made within ninety days, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(a)(1), dismissal  
21 of this action would be recommended. That period of time having expired without a motion for  
22 substitution by a proper party, the court on May 19, 2008, recommended this action be dismissed.  
23 Those findings and recommendations were vacated by Order, filed on May 28, 2008, when  
24 although untimely, Ms. Andrea Haygood, identifying herself as the daughter of the deceased  
25 plaintiff Tyronne Battle, communicated with the court asking that she and her brother be  
26 substituted in as proper parties in place of Mr. Battle. The May 28, 2008, Order was served upon

1 both Ms. Haygood and her brother at the separate addresses provided, informing them that the  
2 personal representative of Mr. Battle's estate must file a motion for substitution of the estate as  
3 plaintiff within 45 days.

4 When Ms. Haygood filed a very abbreviated response in the form of a short and  
5 informal letter, Ms. Haygood and her brother were informed of the deficiencies of the response in  
6 an Order, filed on November 5, 2008, and the court afforded an additional 45 days for the  
7 personal representative of deceased plaintiff's estate to file a properly supported motion for  
8 substitution of the estate. That time period has now expired, and no motion for substitution has  
9 been filed.

10 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed.

11 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District  
12 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within ten days  
13 after service of these findings and recommendations, any written objections may be filed with the  
14 court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and  
15 Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to  
16 appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

17 DATED: April 1, 2009

18 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows

19 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

20 GGH:009/035  
21 batt0171.fr  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26