

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 JAMES T. FREELAND,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-06-0187 LKK DAD P

12 vs.

13 SACRAMENTO CITY POLICE  
14 DEPARTMENT, et al.,

15 Defendants.

ORDER

16 \_\_\_\_\_/  
17 Plaintiff, a former county jail inmate proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights  
18 action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On January 29, 2010, the undersigned issued  
19 findings and recommendations, recommending that the motion for summary judgment filed on  
20 behalf of defendants Perez and Renzelman be granted in part and denied in part. On the same  
21 day, the undersigned issued findings and recommendations, recommending that the motion for  
22 summary judgment filed on behalf of defendants Vu, Villegas, Hansen, Sanchez be granted in  
23 part and denied in part. On March 16, 2010, the assigned district judge adopted both findings  
24 and recommendations in full and granted in part and denied in part the motions for summary  
judgment.

25 In due course, the court will issue a further scheduling order setting dates for  
26 pretrial statements, pretrial conference, and jury trial. However, before issuing the scheduling

1 order, the court will set a mandatory settlement conference in this case. Pursuant to Local Rule  
2 270(b), the parties will be directed to inform the court in writing as to whether they wish to  
3 proceed with the settlement conference before the undersigned magistrate judge or if they wish to  
4 proceed before a randomly-assigned magistrate judge.

5 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

6 1. Within twenty-one days of the date of this order, each party shall inform the  
7 court in writing as to whether they wish to proceed with the settlement conference before the  
8 undersigned magistrate judge or if they wish to proceed before a randomly-assigned magistrate  
9 judge. If the parties wish to proceed before the undersigned magistrate judge, each party shall  
10 return to the court the consent form for settlement conferences provided with this order. If the  
11 parties do not wish the undersigned magistrate judge to preside at the settlement conference, each  
12 party shall file a declaration stating he wishes to proceed before a randomly-assigned magistrate  
13 judge; and

14 2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send each party the consent form for  
15 settlement conferences.

16 DATED: April 20, 2010.

17  
18  
19 DAD:9  
20 free0187.sc  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DALE A. DROZD  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE