(HC) Morris	v. Carey
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	ROLON LAMARR MORRIS, II,
11	Petitioner, No. 2:06-cv-0354 GEB JFM (HC)
12	vs.
13	THOMAS CAREY, et al.,
14	Respondents. <u>ORDER</u>
15	
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding through counsel, has timely filed a notice of
17	appeal of this court's March 5, 2010 denial of his application for a writ of habeas corpus together
18	with a motion for a certificate of appealability pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c) and Fed. R. App.
19	P. 22(b).
20	A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 "only if the
21	applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C.
22	§ 2253(c)(2). The certificate of appealability must "indicate which specific issue or issues
23	satisfy" the requirement. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(3).
24	A certificate of appealability should be granted for any issue that petitioner can
25	demonstrate is "debatable among jurists of reason," could be resolved differently by a different
26	
	1

Doc. 96

and

court, or is "adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further." <u>Jennings v. Woodford</u>, 290 F.3d 1006, 1010 (9th Cir. 2002) (quoting <u>Barefoot v. Estelle</u>, 463 U.S. 880, 893 (1983)).¹

Petitioner has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right in the following issue(s) presented in the instant petition: (1) whether the prosecutor exercised a peremptory challenge to strike an African American juror on the basis of race, in violation of Batson v. Kentucky, 476 U.S. 79 (1986); and (2) whether petitioner's right to due process was violated by admission at trial of unreliable identification evidence.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Petitioner's March 16, 2010 motion for a certificate of appealability is granted;
- 2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to process petitioner's appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

Dated: April 11, 2010

GARLAND E. BURRELL JR.
United States District Judge

¹ Except for the requirement that appealable issues be specifically identified, the standard for issuance of a certificate of appealability is the same as the standard that applied to issuance of a certificate of probable cause. Jennings, at 1010.