I

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	BERLAN LYNELL DICEY,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-06-0482 KJM P
12	VS.
13	S. PICKENS, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	In the pretrial order issued October 22, 2010, the court identified one of
16	defendants' proposed exhibits as an Abstract of Judgment for plaintiff dated October 16, 1988.
17	Defendants have objected, noting that the correct date on the proposed exhibit is October 16,
18 19	1998. The date correction is accepted; this acceptance does not constitute a ruling on the
20	admissibility of the exhibit.
20 21	Plaintiff has asked for two blank subpoenas and/or writs of habeas corpus ad
21	testificandum so he can subpoena Inmate Craver as a witness at trial. In a separate filing, the
23	court has issued a writ of habeas corpus ad testificandum for Inmate Craver's presence at trial.
24	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
25	1. Defendants' objection to the pretrial order is sustained. Their proposed
26	exhibit A is an Abstract of Judgment dated October 16, 1998.
	1

1

1	2. Plaintiff's motion for blank subpoena/writ forms (docket no. 70) is denied.
2	DATED: November 17, 2010.
3	
4	Amile
5	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	2
26	dice0482.ord
	2