(PC) Wilson	v. Baker, et. al.	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	DAVID WILSON,	
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-06-0537 FCD GGH P	
12	vs.	
13	C/O BAKER, et al.,	
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>	
15	/	
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action	
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate	
18	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.	
19	On November 13, 2007, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations	
20	herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections	
21	to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Plaintiff has not filed	
22	objections to the findings and recommendations.	
23	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be	
24	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY	
25	ORDERED that:	
26		
	1	

Doc. 37

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

26

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed November 13, 2007, are adopted in
- 2. All claims contained in the third amended complaint but for the following are dismissed: 1) the Equal Protection claims against defendants Khoury Mitchell, Schwartz, Grannis, Pearson, Tilton and Kernan; 2) the retaliation claim against defendant Baker, and 3) the due process claims as to defendants Arnold, Mitchell, St. Germaine and Cullen as to plaintiff's placement and retention in administrative segregation.

DATED: February 4, 2008.

full; and

FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE