(HC) Karr v.	v. Sisto et al		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	RICHARD E. KARR,		
11	Petitioner, No. Cl	IV S-06-0599 JAM DAD P	
12	vs.		
13	D.K. SISTO, et al.,		
14	Respondents. ORDE	<u>CR</u>	
15	/		
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of		
17	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States		
18	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.		
19	On August 25, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations		
20	herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any		
21	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Neither		
22	party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.		
23	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be		
24	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY		
25	ORDERED that:	ORDERED that:	
26	5 /////		
	1		

Doc. 15

1	1. The findings and recommendations filed August 25, 2009, are adopted in full;
2	and
3	2. This action is stayed pending final disposition of <u>Hayward v. Marshall</u> .
4	DATED: October 8, 2009
5	
6	/s/ John A. Mendez UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
7	/karr0599.801
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2425	
25	
26	