1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
S,

10 RONALD WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff, No. 2:06-cv-0748 JAM KJN P

12 vs.

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, et al,

14 Defendants. FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

15 _______/

A recent court order was served on plaintiff's address of record and returned by the postal service. It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 182(f), which requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for plaintiff's failure to keep the court apprised of his current address. See Local Rules 182(f) and 110.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty-one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the

objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: February 16, 2010 /s/ Kendall J. Newman KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE will0748.33a