1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	ERNEST MILLER,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-06-0947 JAM GGH P
12	VS.
13	HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON, et al.,
14	Defendants. ORDER
15	/
16	On December 31, 2008, plaintiff filed an opposition to defendants' summary
17	judgment motion. The opposition did not address the merits of the motion. Rather, plaintiff
18	complained that he did not have access to his legal and personal property necessary for
19	prosecuting this action.
20	On January 15, 2009, the court ordered defendants to file briefing addressing
21	plaintiff's claim that he had not received his legal and personal property. On January 28, 2009,
22	defendants filed a response to the January 15, 2009, order. This response thoroughly addresses
23	the issue of plaintiff's access to his personal property.
24	Regarding plaintiff's legal property, defendants state that an inventory of
25	plaintiff's cell found that he possessed eighteen manilla envelopes containing legal or

1	miscellaneous documents. Attached as an exhibit to defendants' pleading are photographs taken of these manilla envelopes. Some of these envelopes do appear to contain legal pleadings.
2 3 4	On January 29, 2009, plaintiff filed a difficult to decipher pleading stating that he is still missing legal property taken from him on May 12, 2008. Plaintiff does not even attempt to specify what that property is, and whether it relates to this case.
5 6 7	The court considers the matter of plaintiff's legal property resolved as it relates to this case. Plaintiff is granted thirty days to file a supplemental opposition addressing the merits of defendants' summary judgment motion.
8 9	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff is granted thirty days to file an opposition to defendants' summary judgment motion.
10 11	DATED: February 11, 2009 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12 13	
14 15	mill947.fb
16 17 18	
19 20	
21 22	
23 24	
25	