1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	DAVID ANTHONY FALLON,
11	Plaintiff, CIV-S-06-1016 RRB GGH PS
12	VS.
13	UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT,
14	Defendant. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	On July 5, 2006, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein
17	which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings
18	and recommendations were to be filed within ten days. No objections were filed.
19	Accordingly, the court presumes any findings of fact are correct. See Orland v.
20	<u>United States</u> , 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1999). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are
21	reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir.
22	1983).
23	The court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing,
24	concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the Proposed Findings and Recommendations in full.
25	
26	
	1

(PS) Fallon vs. U.S. Government

Doc. 9

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that: 1. The Proposed Findings and Recommendations filed July 5, 2006, are ADOPTED; and 2. The complaint is dismissed with prejudice. DATED: 2/1/2008 /s/ Ralph R. Beistline UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE fallon1016.jo