(PC) Keeton	v. Cox et al	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	TOMMY ROY KEETON,	
12	Plaintiff,	2:06-cv-1094-GEB-KJM-P
13	vs.	
14	SERGEANT COX, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	<u>ORDER</u>
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action	
18	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate	
19	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.	
20	On March 12, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations	
21	herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any	
22	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Defendants	
23	have filed objections to the findings and recommendations.	
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule	
25	72-304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the	
26	///	
		1

Doc. 26

entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations filed March 12, 2009 are adopted in full;

and

2. Defendants' motion to dismiss (docket no. 11) is denied and defendants are directed to file an answer within twenty days of this order.

Dated: March 31, 2009

GARLAND E. BURREIL, JR. United States District Judg