1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	DAVID W. WILSON, No. CIV S-06-1139-FCD-CMK-P
12	Plaintiff,
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
14	W.C. NESBETH, et al.,
15	Defendants.
16	/
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant
18	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to
19	Eastern District of California local rules.
20	On January 11, 2008, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
21	herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the
22	findings and recommendations were to be filed within 20 days. Timely objections to the
23	findings and recommendations have been filed.
24	///
25	///
26	///
	1

1	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-
2	304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the
3	entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and
4	by proper analysis.
5	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
6	1. The findings and recommendations filed January 11, 2008, are adopted in
7	full;
8	2. This action is dismissed for failure to state a claim; and
9	3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment and close this file.
10	DATED: February 1, 2008.
11	A D
12	And C mm
13	FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR.
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I

I