1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	DAVID WAYNE WILSON,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-06-1139 KJM GGH P vs.
12	
13	W.C. NESBETH, et al.,
14	Defendants. ORDER
15	/
16	On November 21, 2011, plaintiff filed a motion for a protective order to obtain his
17	legal materials so he could file a pretrial statement. Doc. 61. Defendant filed a reply on
18	December 19, 2011, and indicated that plaintiff was provided access to his legal materials and
19	has filed his pretrial statement. Docs. 62, 67, 68. Therefore, plaintiff's motion for a protective
20	order is denied as moot.
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for a protective
22	order (Doc. 61) is denied as moot.
23	DATED: January 4, 2012
24	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25	GGH: AB
26	wils1139.po2
	1
	Dealer