1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	MATTHEW LEE JASPAR,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-06-1177 GEB EFB P
12	VS.
13	KHOURY, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff is a prisoner without counsel seeking relief for alleged civil rights violations.
17	See 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On July 24, 2009, the remaining defendants moved for summary
18	judgment on the grounds that they were never deliberately indifferent to plaintiff's medical
19	needs and that they are entitled to qualified immunity. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 56. On March 27,
20	2008, the court advised plaintiff of the requirements for opposing a motion pursuant to Rule 56
21	of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. See Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 957 (9th Cir.
22	1998) (en banc), cert. denied, 527 U.S. 1035 (1999), and Klingele v. Eikenberry, 849 F.2d 409,
23	411-12 (9th Cir. 1988). That order also informed plaintiff of the requirements for filing an
24	opposition to the pending motion and that failure to oppose such a motion might be deemed a
25	waiver of opposition to the motion. Plaintiff failed to file an opposition.
26	////
	1

1

On September 3, 2009, the court gave plaintiff 20 days to file an opposition or statement
 of non-opposition and warned him that failure to do so could result in dismissal. *See* Fed. R.
 Civ. P. 41(b). On September 16, 2009, plaintiff requested a 60-day extension of time to comply
 with this order, and on September 21, 2009, the court granted the request. Again, plaintiff did
 not file an opposition or a statement of no opposition.

Plaintiff has been warned that he must file a response to defendants' motion and has been
given an extension of time to do so. Plaintiff has disobeyed this court's orders. The appropriate
sanction is dismissal without prejudice.

9 Accordingly, it is RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.
10 See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within 21 days after
being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections
with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections
to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the
specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158
F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

B Dated: December 4, 2009.

EĎMUND F. BRĚNNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE