1	JAMES V. FITZGERALD, III (State Bar No.	
2	NOAH G. BLECHMAN (State Bar No. 1971 MCNAMARA, NEY, BEATTY, SLATTERY,	67)
3	BORGES & AMBACHER LLP 1211 Newell Avenue	
	Walnut Creek, CA 94596	
4	Telephone: (925) 939-5330 Facsimile: (925) 939-0203	
5	Attorneys for Defendants	
6	JOHN L. BURRIS, Esq./ State Bar # 69888	
7	LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS	
8	7677 Oakport Street, Suite 1120 Oakland, CA 94621	
9	Telephone: (510) 839-5200 Facsimile: (510) 839-3882	
10	GAYLA B. LIBET, Esq./ State Bar # 109173	
11	LAW OFFICES OF GAYLA B. LIBET 486 41st Street, # 3	
12	Oakland, CA 94609 Telephone and Facsimile: (510) 420-0324	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
14		
15	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
16	EASTERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
17	JASON EUGENE DEOCAMPO; JESUS	Case No. 2:06-CV-01283-WBS-CMK
18	SEBASTIAN GRANT; and JAQUEZS TYREE BERRY,,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
19	Plaintiffs,	ORDER TO CONTINUE PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE PENDING MEDIATOR'S
20	VS.	PROPOSAL
21		Judge: Hon. William B. Schubb
22	CITY OF VALLEJO, a municipal corporation; ROBERT NICHELINI, in his	Trial Date: August 6, 2013
23	capacity as Chief of Police for the CITY OF VALLEJO; JASON POTTS,	
24	individually, and in his capacity as a Vallejo police officer; JEREMY PATZER,	
	individually, and in his capacity as a Vallejo police officer; ERIC JENSEN,	
2526	individually, and in his capacity as a Vallejo police officer; and DOES 1 through	
	25, inclusive,	
27	Defendants.	
28		

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE

PTC - 2:06-CV-01283-WBS-CMK

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

All parties to this action stipulate and agree, by and through their respective counsel, as follows:

- 1. The parties attended a mediation with retired Magistrate Judge James Larson on May 21, 2013. Judge Larson is the process of issuing the parties a written mediator's proposal that the parties will be evaluating. The parties anticipate that further settlement discussions are necessary.
- Lead trial counsel for the Plaintiffs is unavailable for all of next week, May 27th 2. through May 31st, for a family celebration and Plaintiffs will not be in a position to respond to the mediator's proposal likely before the first week of June 2013.
- 3. The parties wish to continue to pre-trial conference for a brief period of time (two weeks) to allow the parties sufficient time to fully evaluate the mediator's proposal, without incurring the costs and fees for extensive pretrial preparation which would be moot if all parties accept the mediator's proposal. It would be an ineffective waste of party resources, and judicial time and resources, to undergo a Pre-Trial Conference and have the parties prepare all pre-trial documents per Court orders if the parties can reach a settlement.
- 4. Therefore, the parties seek the Court's approval to continue the Pre-Trial Conference, currently set for June 24, 2013, for two weeks to July 8, 2013, at the Court's convenience and availability, per the same requirements per Pretrial Orders of the court.
 - 5. The trial date of August 6, 2013, will not be affected by this brief continuance.
 - 6. The parties propose the following pre-trial schedule:

Current Date	Proposed New Date
Pre-Trial Conference June 24, 2013	July 8, 2013
Trial August 6, 2013	No change

///

1		
2	Respectfully submitted.	
3	Respectiony submitted	•
4	Dated: May 22, 2013	LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS
5	Dated. Way 22, 2013	LAW OFFICES OF GAYLA B. LIBET
6		
7		By: /s/ Gayla B. Libet John L. Burris, Esq., DeWitt Lacy, Esq., Gayla B. Libet, Esq.
8		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
9	Dated: May 22, 2013	McNamara, Ney, Beatty, Slattery,
10	Buted: 141ay 22, 2013	BORGES & AMBACHER LLP
11		By: /s/ Noah G. Blechman James V. Fitzgerald, III / Noah G. Blechman
12		Attorneys for Defendants JASON POTTS, JEREMY PATZER and ERIC
13		JENSEN
14		
15		
16	<u>ORDER</u>	
		<u>ORDER</u>
17		
17 18		ORDER E FOREGOING STIPULATION, THE COURT ORDERS AS
	PURSUANT TO THE	
18	FOLLOWS:	
18 19	FOLLOWS: The Pre-Trial Conferen	E FOREGOING STIPULATION, THE COURT ORDERS AS
18 19 20	FOLLOWS: The Pre-Trial Conferen	E FOREGOING STIPULATION, THE COURT ORDERS AS ace, currently set for June 24, 2013, is continued to July 8, 2013 at a filing deadlines and requirements per prior Pretrial Order in this
18 19 20 21	FOLLOWS: The Pre-Trial Conference 2:00 p.m., subject to the same case. The trial date of August	E FOREGOING STIPULATION, THE COURT ORDERS AS ace, currently set for June 24, 2013, is continued to July 8, 2013 at a filing deadlines and requirements per prior Pretrial Order in this
18 19 20 21 22	FOLLOWS: The Pre-Trial Conference 2:00 p.m., subject to the same	E FOREGOING STIPULATION, THE COURT ORDERS AS ace, currently set for June 24, 2013, is continued to July 8, 2013 at a filing deadlines and requirements per prior Pretrial Order in this 6, 2013 remains unchanged.
18 19 20 21 22 23	The Pre-Trial Conference 2:00 p.m., subject to the same case. The trial date of August of IT IS SO ORDERED	E FOREGOING STIPULATION, THE COURT ORDERS AS ace, currently set for June 24, 2013, is continued to July 8, 2013 at a filing deadlines and requirements per prior Pretrial Order in this
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	The Pre-Trial Conference 2:00 p.m., subject to the same case. The trial date of August of IT IS SO ORDERED	E FOREGOING STIPULATION, THE COURT ORDERS AS ace, currently set for June 24, 2013, is continued to July 8, 2013 at a filing deadlines and requirements per prior Pretrial Order in this 6, 2013 remains unchanged. WILLIAM B. SHUBB
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	The Pre-Trial Conference 2:00 p.m., subject to the same case. The trial date of August of IT IS SO ORDERED	E FOREGOING STIPULATION, THE COURT ORDERS AS ace, currently set for June 24, 2013, is continued to July 8, 2013 at a filing deadlines and requirements per prior Pretrial Order in this 6, 2013 remains unchanged.