| (PC) Nelson | v. Runnels et al  |                            |  |
|-------------|---|----------------------------|--|
|             |   |                            |  |
|             |   |                            |  |
|             |   |                            |  |
|             |   |                            |  |
| 1           |   |                            |  |
| 2           |   |                            |  |
| 3           |   |                            |  |
| 4           |   |                            |  |
| 5           |   |                            |  |
| 6           |   |                            |  |
| 7           |   |                            |  |
| 8           | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |                            |  |
| 9           | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |                            |  |
| 10          | RAYMOND PAUL NELSON,  |                            |  |
| 11          | Plaintiff,  | No. 2:06-cv-1289-LKK-KJN-P |  |
| 12          | VS.   |                            |  |
| 13          | D. L. RUNNELS, et al.,  |                            |  |
| 14          | Defendants.   | <u>ORDER</u>               |  |
| 15          |   |                            |  |
| 16          | Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action               |                            |  |
| 17          | seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate    |                            |  |
| 18          | Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.                                  |                            |  |
| 19          | On August 12, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations                     |                            |  |
| 20          | herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any      |                            |  |
| 21          | objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. Neither |                            |  |
| 22          | party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.                                 |                            |  |
| 23          | The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be                |                            |  |
| 24          | supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY       |                            |  |
| 25          | ORDERED that:   |                            |  |
| 26          | ////  |                            |  |
|             |   | 1                          |  |

Doc. 79

| 1  | 1. The findings and recommendations filed August 12, 2010, are adopted in full; |
|----|---|
| 2  | and   |
| 3  | 2. Defendants' motion for summary judgment (Dkt. No. 69) is denied.             |
| 4  | DATED: September 16, 2010.  |
| 5  |   |
| 6  | 1 ( ) ( )   |
| 7  | LAWRENCE K. KARLTON   |
| 8  | SENIOR JUDGE<br>UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT                                    |
| 9  |   |
| 10 |   |
| 11 |   |
| 12 |   |
| 13 |   |
| 14 |   |
| 15 |   |
| 16 |   |
| 17 |   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |