Doc. 86

In the May 5, 2010 motion, and in a motion filed March 18, 2010, plaintiff asks that the court make copies of certain documents and serve them on defendants. The court does not provide this service. It is the case that all documents filed on the court's electronic docket are transmitted by e-mail to all counsel in a case who are registered for electronic filing with the court. See Local Rule135. Plaintiff's request will be denied.

Finally, plaintiff requests leave to file a second amended complaint to make two changes to the facts alleged. Defendants Wedell, Duc and Duru oppose the motion. Because plaintiff has not explained his three year delay in seeking amendment, his motion will be denied. See Forman v. Davis, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962) (court may deny leave to amend because of undue delay). Plaintiff's motion to amend will be denied.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's motion in limine (#83) is denied without prejudice;
- 2. Plaintiff's May 5, 2010 "motion to petition for judicial assistance . . ." (#79) is denied;
- 3. Plaintiff's March 18, 2010 "motion and petition for judicial assistance" (#70) is denied; and
- 4. Plaintiff's motion for leave to file a second amended complaint (#77) is denied.

DATED: June 14, 2010.

U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

swea1407.mil