

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9 JEFFREY BROADWAY,

10 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-06-1482 MCE CMK (TEMP) P

11 vs.

12 T. FELKER, et al.,

13 Defendants.

ORDER

14 _____/
15 Plaintiff is a state prison inmate proceeding pro se with a civil rights action. On
16 June 19, 2009, this case was dismissed with prejudice on the parties' stipulation following
17 settlement.

18 On November 15, 2010, the court adopted the magistrate judge's recommendation
19 that plaintiff's requests for enforcement of the settlement agreement be denied for lack of
20 jurisdiction.

21 Plaintiff has now filed a "Petition For Writ of Execution For Payment of Money
22 Judgment" under Rule 69 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Because there was no money
23 judgment in this case, Rule 69 does not apply. Adams v. City of Marshall, 2007 WL 3102099 at
24 2 (W.D. Mich. 2007).

25 /////

26 /////

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff's petition for a writ of execution
2 (doc. no. 126) be denied.

3
4 DATED: January 13, 2011

5 
6 **CRAIG M. KELLISON**
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8
9

10 hm
11 broa1482.ord
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26