

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RONNIE S. MARTIN,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-06-1555 FCD KJM P

vs.

IRENE MONTES, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity jurisdiction, be brought only in “(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants reside in the same State, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought.” 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

In this case, the defendants are located and the claim arose in Riverside County, which is in the Central District of California. Therefore, plaintiff’s claim should have been filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. In the interest of justice,

1 a federal court may transfer a complaint filed in the wrong district to the correct district. See 28  
2 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the  
4 United States District Court for the Central District of California.

5 DATED: July 24, 2006.

6  
7   
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
9

10  
11  
12 /mp  
mart1555.21a  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26