(SS) Mattox	v. Astrue	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	KAREN S. MATTOX,	
10	Plaintiff,	CIV S-06-1698 GGH
11	VS.	
12		
13	JO ANNE B. BARNHART, Commissioner of Social Security,	<u>ORDER</u>
14	Defendant.	
15		/
16	The mandate of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has issued, rendering its	
17	judgment, filed March 17, 2010, effective. That judgment reversed the judgment of the district	
18	court, with instructions to remand the case to the agency "for redetermination of eligibility for	
19	benefits."	
20	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: pursuant to	
21	Sentence Four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), this action is remanded to the ALJ consistent with the	
22	Ninth Circuit's judgment.	
23	DATED: May 21, 2010	// (
24		/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
25	GGH:076	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26	mattox1698.rem	

Doc. 31