| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | JOHNAE R. HOYT, |
| 11 | Plaintiff, No. CIV S-06-1795 LKK EFB P |
| 12 | vs. |
| 13 | C/O MANNING, et al., |
| 14 | Defendants. <u>ORDER</u> |
| 15 | / |
| 16 | Plaintiff is a prisoner without counsel suing for alleged civil rights violations. See |
| 17 | 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 |
| 18 | U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. |
| 19 | By order filed May 15, 2007, the magistrate judge screened plaintiff's amended |
| 20 | complaint and found service appropriate for 11 defendants. The court granted plaintiff |
| 21 | extensions of time totaling 90 days in which to file his documents for service, however, plaintiff |
| 22 | failed to submit the requested documents. Accordingly, the magistrate judge issued findings and |
| 23 | recommendations on November 20, 2007, recommending dismissal. |
| 24 | Plaintiff subsequently requested an additional 90 days in which to comply with the |
| 25 | court's order and submit documents for service. That request was denied in this court's February |
| 26 | 25, 2008, order adopting the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations. Judgment was |
| | 1 |
| | |

1 entered the same day.

| 2 | On March 12, 2008, plaintiff filed objections to this court's order, stating that he |
|----|---|
| 3 | never received a copy of the November 20, 2007 findings and recommendations, and described |
| 4 | other circumstances that had rendered litigation of this action difficult for him. On June 16, |
| 5 | 2008, this court found that plaintiff had alleged facts suggesting that relief from judgment was |
| 6 | appropriate and vacated its February 25, 2008 order adopting the magistrate judge's findings and |
| 7 | recommendations. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b). This court ordered plaintiff to, within 30 days |
| 8 | from the date of the order, complete and submit to the court the documents for service. The court |
| 9 | also warned plaintiff that his failure to comply with the terms of the order would result in |
| 10 | reinstatement of the magistrate judge's November 20, 2007, findings and recommendations and |
| 11 | this court's February 25, 2008, order adopting them. |
| 12 | The 30 days have passed and plaintiff has not submitted the requested documents |
| 13 | or otherwise responded to that order. |
| 14 | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: |
| 15 | 1. The magistrate judge's November 20, 2007, findings and recommendations |
| 16 | and this court's February 25, 2008, order adopting them are reinstated; and |
| 17 | 2. This action is dismissed without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Local |
| 18 | Rule 11-110. |
| 19 | DATED: January 27, 2009. |
| 20 | |
| 21 | Javanne K Karlton |
| 22 | LAWRENCE K. KARLTON SENIOR JUDGE |
| 23 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |

2