1 BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP ROSEN, BIEN & GALVAN, LLP GEOFFREY HOLTZ – 191370 MICHAEL W. BIEN – 096891 2 Three Embarcadero Center GAY C. GRUNFELD – 121944 San Francisco, California 94111-4067 MARIA V. MORRIS – 223903 3 Telephone: (415) 393-2000 SHIRLEY HUEY – 224114 ELIZABETH H. ENG – 239265 315 Montgomery Street, Tenth Floor 4 San Francisco, California 94104 5 Telephone: (415) 433-6830 YOUTH LAW CENTER 6 PRISON LAW OFFICE DONALD SPECTER – 83925 SUSAN L. BURRELL – 074204 7 SARA NORMAN – 189536 CAROLE SHAUFFER – 100226 CORENE KENDRICK – 226642 1917 Fifth Street 8 Berkeley, California 94710-1916 200 Pine Street, 3rd Floor Telephone: (510) 280-2621 San Francisco, CA 94104 9 Facsimile: (510) 280-2704 Telephone: (415)543-3379 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 L.H., A.Z., D.K., D.R., M.N., and R.C., on behalf of Case No. 2:06-CV-02042-LKK-GGH 13 themselves and all other similarly situated juvenile parolees in California, JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING 14 MODIFICATIONS TO DIVISION OF Plaintiffs, JUVENILE JUSTICE PAROLE 15 REVOCATION POLICIES AND VS. **PROCEDURES** ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, Governor, State of 16 California, MATTHEW CATE, Secretary, California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation 17 ("CDCR"); SCOTT KERNAN, Undersecretary of Operations, CDCR; BERNARD WARNER, Chief 18 Deputy Secretary of the Division of Juvenile Justice; RACHEL RIOS, Director, Division of Juvenile Parole 19 Operations; MARTIN HOSHINO, Executive Officer of the Board of Parole Hearings ("BPH"); ROBERT 20 DOYLE, Chair of the BPH; SUSAN MELANSON, HENRY AGUILAR, ASKIA ABDULMAJEED, 21 JOSEPH COMPTON, ROBERT CAMERON, JOYCE 22 ARREDONDO, MARY SCHAMER, and TRACEY ST. JULIEN, Commissioners and Board 23 Representatives; CHUCK SUPPLE, Executive Officer of the Juvenile Parole Board; CDCR; DIVISION OF 24 JUVENILE JUSTICE; BOARD OF PAROLE HEARINGS; and the JUVENILE PAROLE BOARD, 25 Defendants.1 26 27 ¹ The names of Defendants currently serving and their CDCR capacities have been substituted 28 pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 25.

JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING MODIFICATIONS TO DIVISION OF JUVENILE JUSTICE PAROLE REVOCATION POLICIES

² The parties continue to dispute how the definition of "good cause" will be interpreted in addressing delays caused by persons not directly employed by Defendants.

The final determination regarding the use of mechanical restraints resides with the BCPA and shall be made prior to the commencement of the hearing and shall be documented in the Board Order at the conclusion of the hearing. If the use of restraints is determined to be appropriate, it shall be the responsibility of the BCPA and/or the parole agent present at the hearing to properly restrain the parolee prior to or immediately upon being escorted into the hearing room. The BCPA or parole agent responsible for applying the restraints shall double check the restraints for proper application and to ensure that they are not causing pain or loss of circulation for the parolee.

Any restraints shall allow the parolee limited movement of the hands to read and handle documents and writings necessary to the hearing. Under no circumstances should a parolee be restrained using fixed restraints to a wall, floor or furniture. Pregnant parolees should not be shackled in a "belly belt" around the waist or in leg restraints.

When mechanical restraint is required, handcuffs, alone or attached to a waist chain, will be the means of restraint normally used. However, additional mechanical restraint, including leg irons, additional chains, leather cuffs, or other specialized restraint equipment may be used when the circumstances indicate the need for the level of control that such devices will provide, and when applied by custodial staff who have received training in the proper use of such devices.

B. Supplemental Charges for Parolees Pending Revocation

The parties agree to replace:

Parolees charged with serious in-custody misconduct that occurs while the parolee is in custody pending revocation proceedings may be assessed up to an additional twelve (12) months, based upon behaviors and relevant assessments as provided in the Revocation Extension Matrix.

With:

Parolees charged with serious in-custody misconduct involving violence against a person that occurs while the parolee is in custody pending revocation proceedings may be assessed up to an additional twelve (12) months, based upon relevant assessments as provided in the Revocation Extension Matrix.

C. Fearful Witnesses

The parties agree to add the following section to the JPB Policies and Procedures:

FEARFUL WITNESS HEARING PROCEDURES

If a witness has been designated as fearful or claims to be fearful, prior to having the witness testify, the Hearing Officer shall:

³ Plaintiffs do not waive their position that Defendants should also facilitate such communications when parolees housed in county jails or CDCR institutions refuse to attend hearings or when parolees refuse to board a bus for transport to a hearing.

possible but no later than three (3) business days from the ninety-1 first (91st) day. 2 3 G. Private Counsel's Ability to Subpoena Witnesses 4 The parties agree to add the following sentence to the JPB Policies and Procedures: 5 "A parolee's attorney may subpoen witnesses to appear at a parole revocation hearing or revocation extension hearing." 6 7 H. **Exit Interview** 8 The parties agree to replace: "Additional special conditions of parole, not requested in the Parole Placement Plans, may be added as appropriate and deemed necessary." With 10 "Additional special conditions of parole, not requested in the Parole Placement Plans, that do not impact the parolee's placement may be added as appropriate and deemed necessary."⁵ 11 12 I. Administrative Appeals 13 The parties agree to eliminate the following sentence: "The final decision of whether an administrative appeal should be filed in any given case rests with the attorney." 15 J. Legal Mail 16 The parties agreed to add the following sentence to the section regarding 17 Administrative Appeals: "All appeals will be treated as legal mail." 18 III. **DJPO Policies** 19 Α. **Notice of NIC Hearing** 20 The parties agree to add the following sentence to the section regarding Parole Agent 21 Responsibilities for Not In Custody (NIC) Referral: "The Parole Agent shall be responsible 22 for giving notice of the date, time and location of a NIC Hearing to the parolee." 23 ⁴ By stipulating to this modified language, Plaintiffs do not waive their positions that failure 24 to hold a hearing within 35 days absent good cause is a violation of the Injunction and contempt, and that prejudice can be demonstrated and/or should be presumed after thirty-five (35) days. In this and the other areas addressed by this Stipulation and Order, Plaintiffs' agreement to revised language in a particular provision shall not be construed as acquiescence in the validity of any aspect of Defendants' Policies and Procedures, except as 27

previously agreed to.

⁵ Agreeing to this revision does not constitute a waiver of Plaintiffs' position that no special conditions of parole should be added at the Exit Interview.

B. Supplemental Charges

The parties agree to add the following language regarding Supplemental Charges: "The parole agent shall review the field file for all known parole violations, except for ongoing investigations as provided for in Evidence Code § 1040(b)(2), prior to completing DJJ Form 3.264A. Supplemental charges may not be made based on evidence contained in the field file at the time the DJJ Form 3.264A is completed."

C. Field Parole Agent Responsibilities for Exit Interviews

The parties agreed to replace:

Conduct a review of the release packet/slough file to identify any accommodation and/or effective communication needs, or contact the institutional Parole Agent to discuss any accommodation/effective communication needs.

With:

Conduct a review of the release packet/slough file and, if the parolee is in a DJJ facility, contact the institution parole agent, to identify any accommodation and/or effective communication needs.

IV. Facilities Revocation Extension Policies

A. Expedited Revocation Extension Procedures

The parties agreed to add the following language: "If a parolee is subject to revocation extension proceedings for behavior that occurs within 30 days of his or her RRD, the DDMS Coordinator is responsible for expediting the revocation extension proceedings such that the parolee is not held for more than 35 days past his or her RRD for purposes of completing those proceedings. In the event that a parolee is detained for more than 35 days past his or her RRD, he or she will be given day-for-day credit for every additional day the hearing occurs beyond 35 days."

V. Revocation and Revocation Extension Matrices

A. Description of Revocation Extension Matrix

The parties agree to replace: "The following behaviors are Level 3 violations that may warrant referral to the Juvenile Parole Board for revocation extension proceedings." With: "The following behaviors are Level 3 violations that rise to the level of serious in-custody

1	misconduct and may warrant referral to the Juvenile Parole Board for revocation extension			
2	proceedings."			
3	В.	Driving Violations		
4	The parties agree to amend charge code 899 to "Other driving violations rising to the			
5	level of misdemeanor or felony" in both the parole revocation charge codes and the			
6	assessment matrix.			
7	C.	Pressuring		
8	The parties agree to amend charge codes 3JA-3JC by adding the phrase "to violate the			
9	law or a facility policy" at the end of each of these charge code titles/ descriptions.			
10	D.	Exposure of Genitals		
11	The parties agree to amend charge code 3DC in the revocation extension matrix to			
12	"Intentional exposure of genitals."			
13	E.	Violation 3S		
14	The parties agree to eliminate the 3S category of violations from the Revocation			
15	Extension Matrix and replace it instead with "Disruptive Behavior that threatens the safety			
16	and security of the facility."			
17	F.	Violation 3TD		
18	The parties agree to eliminate charge code 3TD from the Revocation Extension			
19	Matrix.			
20	G.	Willful Program Failure		
21	The parties agree to add a numerical charge code for Willful Program Failure in the			
22	Revocation Extension Matrix.			
23	///			
24	///			
25	///			
26	///			
27	///			
28	///			
	I			

1	VI. MODIFICATION		
2	Defendants may modify the Policies and Procedures outlined herein in the manner		
3	provided for in Paragraph 12 of the Stipulated Order for Permanent Injunctive Relief dated		
4	June 4, 2	2008.	
5			
6	IT	IS SO STIPULATED.	
7	Dated: Se	eptember 8, 2009	ROSEN, BIEN & GALVAN, LLP
8			Dry /a/ Can Croathwait Countald
9			By: /s/ Gay Crosthwait Grunfeld Gay Crosthwait Grunfeld
10	Datade Co	antamban 9, 2000	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
11	Dated: So	eptember 8, 2009	HANSON BRIDGETT LLP
12			By: <u>/s/ S. Anne Johnson</u> S. Anne Johnson
13			Attorneys for Defendants
14			Arnold Schwarzenegger, et al.
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
16	Dated: September 10, 2009		
17			
18	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
19	LAWRENCE K. KARLTON SENIOR JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			