

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-06-2299 FCD KJM

v.

**RELATED CASE ORDER**

REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 7600  
NORTH 71<sup>st</sup> AVENUE, GLENDALE,  
MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA,  
ASSESSOR'S TAX PARCEL NUMBER:  
143-25-044, INCLUDING ALL  
APPURTENANCES AND IMPROVEMENTS  
THERE TO,

Defendant.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-06-2300 GEB EFB

v.

REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 7407  
NORTH 82<sup>nd</sup> LANE, GLENDALE,  
MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA,  
ASSESSOR'S TAX PARCEL NUMBER:  
142-27-171-1, INCLUDING ALL  
APPURTENANCES AND IMPROVEMENTS  
THERE TO,

Defendant.

///

1 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

2 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-06-2305 LKK GGH

3 v.

4 REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 8258  
5 WEST NICOLET AVENUE, GLENDALE,  
6 MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA,  
7 ASSESSOR'S TAX PARCEL NUMBER:  
8 142-27-156-4, INCLUDING ALL  
9 APPURTENANCES AND IMPROVEMENTS  
THERETO,

Defendant.  
/

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-06-2306 DFL GGH

12 v.

13 REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 8779  
14 WEST LANE AVENUE, GLENDALE,  
15 MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA,  
16 ASSESSOR'S TAX PARCEL NUMBER:  
17 142-28-050, INCLUDING ALL  
18 APPURTENANCES AND IMPROVEMENTS  
THERETO,

Defendant.  
/

19 The court has received the Notice of Related Cases filed October 23, 2006. Examination  
20 of the above-entitled actions reveals that all actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule  
21 83-123(a) (E.D. Cal. 1997). The actions involved are based on the same or similar claims, the  
22 same property transaction or event, similar questions of fact and the same questions of law, and  
23 would therefore entail a substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.  
24 Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge is likely to effect a substantial  
25 savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

26 The parties should be aware that relating the cases under Local Rule 83-123 merely has  
27 the result that these actions are assigned to the same judge; no consolidation of the actions is  
28 effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related cases are generally assigned to the

1 judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

2 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the actions denominated, **CIV S-06-2300 GEB**  
3 **EFB, CIV S-06-2305 LKK GGH and CIV S-06-2306 DFL GGH** are reassigned to Judge  
4 Frank C. Damrell, Jr. and Magistrate Judge Kimberly J. Mueller for all further proceedings, and  
5 any dates currently set in these reassigned cases only are hereby VACATED. Henceforth, the  
6 caption on documents filed in the reassigned cases shall be shown as, **CIV S-06-2300 FCD**  
7 **KJM, CIV S-06-2305 FCD KJM and CIV S-06-2306 FCD KJM.**

8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court make appropriate adjustment in the  
9 assignment of civil/criminal cases to compensate for this reassignment.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 DATED: October 26, 2006

12 /s/ Frank C. Damrell Jr.  
13 FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR.  
14 United States District Judge  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28