

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-06-2299 FCD KJM

v.

RELATED CASE ORDER

REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 7600
NORTH 71st AVENUE, GLENDALE,
MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA,
ASSESSOR'S TAX PARCEL NUMBER:
143-25-044, INCLUDING ALL
APPURTENANCES AND IMPROVEMENTS
THERE TO,

Defendant.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-06-2300 GEB EFB

v.

REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 7407
NORTH 82nd LANE, GLENDALE,
MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA,
ASSESSOR'S TAX PARCEL NUMBER:
142-27-171-1, INCLUDING ALL
APPURTENANCES AND IMPROVEMENTS
THERE TO,

Defendant.

///

1 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

2 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-06-2305 LKK GGH

3 v.

4 REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 8258
5 WEST NICOLET AVENUE, GLENDALE,
6 MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA,
7 ASSESSOR'S TAX PARCEL NUMBER:
8 142-27-156-4, INCLUDING ALL
9 APPURTENANCES AND IMPROVEMENTS
THERETO,

Defendant.
/

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-06-2306 DFL GGH

12 v.

13 REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 8779
14 WEST LANE AVENUE, GLENDALE,
15 MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA,
16 ASSESSOR'S TAX PARCEL NUMBER:
17 142-28-050, INCLUDING ALL
18 APPURTENANCES AND IMPROVEMENTS
THERETO,

Defendant.
/

19 The court has received the Notice of Related Cases filed October 23, 2006. Examination
20 of the above-entitled actions reveals that all actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule
21 83-123(a) (E.D. Cal. 1997). The actions involved are based on the same or similar claims, the
22 same property transaction or event, similar questions of fact and the same questions of law, and
23 would therefore entail a substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.
24 Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge is likely to effect a substantial
25 savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

26 The parties should be aware that relating the cases under Local Rule 83-123 merely has
27 the result that these actions are assigned to the same judge; no consolidation of the actions is
28 effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related cases are generally assigned to the

1 judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

2 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the actions denominated, **CIV S-06-2300 GEB**
3 **EFB, CIV S-06-2305 LKK GGH and CIV S-06-2306 DFL GGH** are reassigned to Judge
4 Frank C. Damrell, Jr. and Magistrate Judge Kimberly J. Mueller for all further proceedings, and
5 any dates currently set in these reassigned cases only are hereby VACATED. Henceforth, the
6 caption on documents filed in the reassigned cases shall be shown as, **CIV S-06-2300 FCD**
7 **KJM, CIV S-06-2305 FCD KJM and CIV S-06-2306 FCD KJM.**

8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court make appropriate adjustment in the
9 assignment of civil/criminal cases to compensate for this reassignment.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 DATED: October 26, 2006

12 /s/ Frank C. Damrell Jr.
13 FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR.
14 United States District Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28